U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY U.S. COAST GUARD

OFFICER EVALUATION REPORT (OER)

Va		

CG-5310C (Rev. 09-15)									
1. ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	4					(YYYY/MM/DD)	(YYYY/MI	И/DD)	
a. NAME (Last) (Initial			ıls)	b. EMPLID	c. GRADE	d. DATE OF RANK	e. DATE REPOR	TED /	
f. UNIT		·				g. ATU - OPFAC	h. DAYS NOT O	BSERVE THER	D
i. PERIOD OF REPORT (YYYY/MM/DI	ח)	j. OCCASION FOR REPORT (Mark on	ılv on	e)		k. EXCEPTION REPORT	I. DATE S		ED
/ / TO	-, ,	/ Annual/ Detachming Semiannual Reporting	ent/C	hange of Detachment of Detachm	romotion		current	/	/
	IFS:	List primary duty and summarize al			Reserve	<u> </u>			
PRIMARY DUTY:		Liot primary daty and carrinanzo ar		tice and responsionation (provide	11000110	тио и арриоавто).			
THINDUTT BOTT.									
ATTACHMENTS:		55							
		: Measures an officer's ability to ma			lictic I 5	Eventional proporation Almani	a looked bayand	7	N/O
a. PLANNING AND PREPAREDNESS:		Got caught by the unexpected; appeared to be controlled by events. Set vague or unrealistic goals. Used unreasonable criteria to set priorities_and_deadlines. Rarely had plan of	اً ا	Consistently prepared. Set high but rea goals. Used sound criteria to set priorities deadlines. Used quality tools and processes	and and	Exceptional preparation. Always immediate events or problemanced competing demand strategies with contingency plan aspects of problems, including the problems of the problems.	ems. Skillfully		
Ability to anticipate, determine goals, identify relevant information, set priorities and deadlines, and create a		priorities and deadlines. Rarely had plan of action. Failed to focus on relevant information.		develop action plans. Identified information. Kept supervisors and st	key	strategies with contingency plan	s. Assessed all		
I shared vision of the unit's and Coast		action. Tailed to local of relevant information.		holders informed.		and impact.	indenying 155de5	$ _{\frown} $	
Guard's future.		Concentrated on unpreductive activities or	\vdash	Effectively managed a variety of activities	U U	Unuqually akilled at bringing a	ooroo rooourooo	0	0
b. USING RESOURCES: Ability to manage time, materials, information, money, and people (i.e. all CG components as well as external publics).	1 1	Concentrated on unproductive activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left subordinates without means to accomplish tasks. Employed wasteful methods.	0	Effectively managed a variety of activities available resources. Delegated, empower and followed up. Skilled time man budgeted own and subordinates' productively. Ensured subordinates adequate tools, materials, time and direct Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.	ered, ager, time had tion.	Unusually skilled at bringing sto bear on the most code demands. Optimized effective delegation, follow-up control. systematically reduce cost, eand improve efficiency.	al of competing lctivity through werment, and d ways to eliminate waste,	0	0
c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS:		Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty.	\vdash	Got the job done in all routine situations an	d in	Maintained optimal balance	among quality,	\vdash	
Quality, quantity, timeliness and impact of work.		Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty. Results often late or of poor quality. Work had a negative impact on department or unit. Maintained the status quo despite opportunities to improve.	0	Got the job done in all routine situations an many unusual ones. Work was timely an high quality; required same of subordina Results had a positive impact on departmer unit. Continuously improved services organizational effectiveness.	d of tes. it or and	Maintained optimal balance quantity, and timeliness of work and subordinates' work surpass Results had a significant positi or Coast Guard. Established systems of continuous improve	c. Quality of own sed expectations. ve impact on unit clearly effective ment.	0	0
d. ADAPTABILITY:		I Inable to gauge effectiveness of work	\vdash	Recentive to change new information	and	Ranidly assessed and adjuste	ed to changing	\vdash	$\overline{}$
Ability to modify work methods and priorities in response to new information, changing conditions, political realities, or unexpected obstacles.		Unable to gauge effectiveness of work, recognize political realities, or make adjustments when needed. Overlooked or screened out new information. Overreacted or responded slowly to change in direction or environment. In	0	Receptive to change, new information, technology. Effectively used benchmarks improve performance and service. Monito progress and changed course as requieffectively dealt with pressure and ambig Facilitated smooth transitions. Adjustification to accommodate societal trends political realities.	ito pred red. iity. sted or	Rapidly assessed and adjust conditions, political realities, rand technology. Very skilled responding to measureme Championed organizational Effectively dealt with extresituations. Turned pressure an constructive forces for change.	new information at using and int indicators. improvements. imply complex d ambiguity into	0	0
e. PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE:		Questionable competence and credibility.		Competent and credible authority on speci		Superior expertise; advice and			
Ability to acquire, apply and share technical and administrative knowledge and skills associated with description of duties. (Includes operational aspects such as marine safety, seamanship, airmanship, SAR, etc., as appropriate.)		Operational or specialty expertise inadequate or lacking in key areas. Made little effort to grow professionally. Used knowledge as power against others or bluffed rather than acknowledging ignorance. Effectiveness reduced due to limited knowledge of own organizational role and customer needs.	0	or operational issues. Acquired and appexcellent operational or specialty expertise assigned duties. Showed professional grothrough education, training and professi reading. Shared knowledge and informa with others clearly and simply. Underst own organizational role and customer needs	for wth onal tion ood	great breadth and depth Remarkable grasp of complex i and situations. Rapidly develors growth beyond expectation conveyed knowledge, direct increased workplace product knowledge of own role, custo value of work.	aauaa aanaanta	0	0
COMMENTS:					•				

Page 3 of CG-5310C (F		2014	MENTO: Cumplement	or omplify	Cuponi	io arla avalu	/	(70-		Opo :- 0	_	
7. REPORTING OFFICER COMMENTS: Supplement or amplify Supervisor's evaluation. OConcur ODo not concur ORO is Supervisor													
		=20	COLOR DUALITIES.	••				· · · · · · · - · · - · · · - · · · · ·		<u>. </u>			
a. INITIATIVE:	ND PRO	ESS ¹	Postponed needed action.								out addițional responsibilit	y. ⁷	I N/O
Ability to originate and	act on new		Postponed needed action. supported improvements only do so. Showed little in development. Feasible methods, services, or	when directed terest in ca	d to l	methods, and problems and	improvement through n practices; self-starter. I took prompt action to Sought opportunities for c ent. Pursued productivity	Anticipated avoid or	ľ	A self-learner. Ma practices work when	out additional responsibilit ade worthwhile ideas ar others might have given u Optimized use of new idea improve work processe	íd p.	
ideas, pursue opportunities develop, and seek without guidance and supe	to learn and responsibility		methods, services, or unexplored.	products v	vent	reer developm enhanced mis	ent. Pursued productivity sion performance by approach.	y gains and oplying new	1	and methods to decision-making, and	improve work processe service delivery.	8,	
b. JUDGMENT:	rvision.	0	Decisions often displayed po	or analysis E	O		$\overline{}$	I '	0 ,	Combined keep analy	rtical thought, an understan	, 0	10
Ability to make sound of provide valid recomme	ecisions and		to make necessary decision	ns or iumpeo	d to I	sense in mal	analytical thought and ing decisions. Used far e, and considered the nd political realities. Wein e considerations. Man	acts, data, impact of	i	ing of political proci appropriate decisions	pesses, and insight to make Focused on the key issue information. Did the right Actions indicated awarenes on others. Not afraid to achieve positive results.	ie es	
provide valid recomme using facts, experience acumen, common s	ndations by ce, political ense risk		conclusions without cralternatives, and impact. weigh risk, cost, and time concerned with political driver	Did not effect considerations. s on organization	Un-	alternatives and tire	nd political realities. Weight ne considerations. Mad nantly with the best	ighed risk, ade sound	t	and the most releva thing at the right time of impact of decision	nt information. Did the rig . Actions indicated awarenes	nt ss	
assessment, and analytica	al thought.		O O	o on organizatio		information.	mptly with the best	availabio	()		()	1()	
c. RESPONSIBILITY:			Actions demonstrated question of commitment. Tolerated in	onable ethics or	r lack failed	Held self a	nd subordinates persor	nally and		Integrity and ethics to	peyond reproach. Always he is to highest standards of peal accountability. Did the rigwas difficult. Succeeded far policies or decisions word unwavering commitment nizational goals.	eld er-	
Ability to act ethically, of	courageously,		to hold subordinates ac organization to absorb pers er than confronting them as not to speak up or get invo imal support for decisions cou	countable. All	owed s rath-	necessary, e positions. Su	nd subordinates persor accountable. Spoke ven when expressing poorted organizational po h may have been count ted to the successful acl all goals.	unpopular olicies and	l i	sonal and profession thing even when it	al accountability. Did the rig was difficult. Succeeded	ht in	
and dependably and inspire others; accountability f subordinates' actions.	or own and		er than confronting them as not to speak up or get invo	required. Lend ved. Provided inter to own ide	ded min-	decisions which ideas. Committee of organization	h may have been count tted to the successful acl	ter to own chievement		making even unpopul Actions demonstrate achievement of organ	lar policies or decisions wor d unwavering commitment pizational goals	k. to	
			\circ		. O	or organization	ai goais.		\cup		O	-10	0
d. PROFESSIONAL PRES			Unaware of general CG ob ative or biased in interaction in difficult situations. Conve self and CG. Ignorant of or mon military courtesies. U and grooming below standard the core values of honor, rest	ectives; uncoo	pper- sure	Knowledgeable	in how CG objectives rative and fair in all int difficult situations. Convetelf and CG. Well versed se in rendering and uphos. Great care in uniforming. Abided by the core and devotion to duty.	serve the		Always self-assured Poised in response t	projected ideal CG imag o others' provocative action p role in civilian/military cor and held others accountab nd finest traditions of milita i. Meticulous uniform appea inspired similar standards	e. s.	
Ability to bring credit to Guard through one's act tence, demeanor, and Extent to which an officer Coast Guard's core value.	ons, compe- appearance.		self and CG. Ignorant of ormon military courtesies. U	sloppy with c niform appeara	om- ance	tive image of s	elf and CG. Well versed ise in rendering and upho	l in military oldina mili-		munity. Exemplified for the core values a	and held others accountab and finest traditions of milita	le rv	
Coast Guard's core valurespect, and devotion to d	alsplayed the es of honor, utv		and grooming below standard the core values of honor, res	I. Failed to dispect, and devo	play otion	tary courtesie	s. Great care in uniforn ming. Abided by the core	m appear- e values of		arioo aria grooming,	 Meticulous uniform appea inspired similar standards 	iŕ- in	
		lol	to duty.				\circ	1	\cap	others.	0	0	0
e. HEALTH AND WELL-E			Did not adhere to the Coast gram. Failed to meet mining weight control or sobriety, doned others' alcohol abuse, ed subordinates' health and ing or unable to recognize adespite apparent need. Fail identify and protect personararies	Guard Fitness num standards	Pro-	Maintained we Coast Guard	ight standards and adher Fitness Program. Com Ill-being of self and sub onal performance through ysical and emotional vand managed stress e safe operating procedu	ered to the nmitted to		Remarkable vitality, energy. Consistent	enthusiasm, alertness ar y contributed at high leve da a comprehensive fitnes ed personal performant in activities which supporte la well-being. Monitored ar with stress, enhance heal Demonstrated a significa s safety of personnel.	nd Is	
Ability to invest in the C future by caring for the ph safety, and emotional well	veical health		doned others' alcohol abuse. ed subordinates' health and	Seldom consi well-being. Un	ider- will-	Enhanced pers	onal performance through vsical and emotional v	h activities well-being.		program. Optimiz through involvement	ed personal performand in activities which supporte	ed l	
and others.	J		ing or unable to recognize a despite apparent need. Fa	and manage str led to adequa	ress ately	Recognized a Ensured that	ind managed stress e safe operating procedu	effectively. ures were		physical and emotion helped others deal	nal well-being. Monitored ar with stress, enhance heal	nd th	
			hazards.	nnei from sa	irety	followed.				commitment toward	s safety of personnel.		
COMMENTS:									<u>OL</u>		O	10	10
9. Comparison Scale	: Compare	this C	ommander alongside all C	Commanders	you have	e known throu	ghout your career. (M	lark only or	ne)	Prom	otion Scale: (Mark only		
Top 10% of all	ĺ		One of the few Distinguis	hed performe	ers.	Ве	est Officer of this grad	de.		0	Recently Promoted Already Selected to		**
Commanders	ι =		0				0			$=$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\vdash}$	Accelerated Promo	tion/	
Majority of high performing		_	performer; very ent and respected			former; highly	•	nal perform			In-Zone Reordering		
Commanders	ໄ ເ		rofessional.			for positions sponsibility.		hip assignn			Definitely Promote		
5	=		0					0			Promotion Potentia	I	
Below the majority of Commanders	,		ory performance/conduct or increased responsibilit				former; limited potent eased responsibility.	itial for		Ō	Do Not Promote		
** Less than 12 months in rank Reporting Officer Comparison Scale History: All CG Reporting Officers will provide their O5 comparison history strip to the Reviewing Officer.													
10. POTENTIAL: Describe ability to assume greater leadership roles and responsibilities (e.g. command, special assignment, promotion, and special skills).													
11. REPORTING (AUT	HENTICATION	h CDADE	Lo EMPI	ID	d. TITLE OF POSITION				<u>_</u>	YY/MM/DI	D)
1. INAIVIE AIND SIGNATUK				b. GRADE	c. EMPL	חו-	u. TITLE OF POSITION	<u> </u>			e. DATE		
12. REVIEWER A		CAT	ON a.				en the Reporting Officer is		t Guar	rd Officer or Coast G	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b. NAME AND SIGNATUR	E			c. GRADE	d. EMPL	ıυ	e. TITLE OF POSITION	N			f. DATE		

INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE: The Officer Evaluation Report (OER) primarily provides information for officer corps promotion, selection, and assignment determinations. Secondary purposes include: (1) prescribing common standards of expected performance; (2) reinforcing Coast Guard values; and (3) acting as one means of performance feedback for the Reported-on Officer.

GUIDING INSTRUCTION: Chapter 10-A of the CG Personnel Manual, COMDTINST M1000.6 (series), contains all official guidance on OES requirements.

RESPONSIBILITIES: All Coast Guard officers and raters of CG officers should be aware of their OES responsibilities as outlined in the CG Personnel Manual.

SUBMISSION SCHEDULE:

Grade	Active Duty	IDPL
Captain	Apr	Apr
Commander	Mar	Mar
Lieutenant Commander	Apr	Apr
Lieutenant	May	May
Lieutenant (Junior Grade)	Jan and Jul	Jul
Lieutenant (Junior Grade) in zone for LT	Jun	Jun
Ensign	Mar and Sep	Mar
Chief Warrant Officer (W4)	Apr	Apr
Chief Warrant Officer (W3)	Jul	Jul
Chief Warrant Officer (W2)	Jun	Jun

Notes:

- (1) An OER period may be extended for up to 92 days (semiannual) or 182 days (annual) under certain conditions. Consult PERSMAN for further guidance.
- (2) OERs for IDPL grades of CAPT, LTJG, and ENS are annual. All other IDPL OERs are biennial.
- (3) Officers assigned to DUINS follow an annual/semiannual schedule according to school terms.

TIMELINE:

21 days before end of period:

Reported-on Officer submits to Supervisor a list of significant accomplishments during the period, supporting documents (as required), administrative data required for OER Section 1, and a completed OER page 4.

10 days after the period:

Supervisor sections of OER due to Reporting Officer.

30 days after the period:

Supervisor and Reporting Officer sections due to Reviewer. Reviewer sends completed OER to OER Administrator.

45 days after the period:

OER due to CGPC for review and entry into official record.

RESTRICTIONS:

Raters shall not mention a Reported-on Officer's: (1) First name; (2) Non-selection for promotion, including allusions thereto; (3) Record appeals; (4) Psychological or medical conditions; (5) marital or family status (including pregnancy); or (6) Performance observed outside the reporting period. Raters also shall not: (1) Expressly evaluate or place emphasis on gender, religion, color, race, or ethnic background (applies to both member and third parties); or (2) Include information which is subject to a security classification.

See PERSMAN for additional restrictions that apply to disciplinary proceedings.

PREPARATION CHECKLIST (OPTIONAL):

Administrative Data and Description of Duties (Sections 1 and 2):

- All fields completed (enter dates in YYYY/MM/DD format and enter only one occasion for report).
- Primary duty will be capitalized (no other text enhancements, such as underlining, bolding, or all capital letters, are allowed throughout the OER).
- ____ Attachments listed (only personal award citations, punitive letters, or letter reports for senior service school allowed).

Performance Evaluation (Sections 3-5 and 7-8)

- Marks assigned according to standards which most closely describe Reported-on Officer's performance during the period.
- Specific examples cited for each mark which deviated from "4".
 When applicable, comments on seamanship or airmanship ability are distinct.

Comparison or Rating Scale and Potential (Sections 9 and 10):

- Section 9 mark assigned according to the instructive clause on the form.
- Comments describe Reported-on Officer's overall potential for greater responsibility (include, as appropriate, recommendations for promotion, special assignment, and command).

TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE COMMENTS:

1. Be specific.

Concisely describe the performance by relating the action observed and its impact; quantify the action whenever possible and explain why it was important; avoid empty superlatives. Do not repeat the dimensions.

2. Save space

Use information bullets; reduce the use of pronouns; use member's name sparingly, if at all; use action verbs and semicolons; and avoid excess words. Acronyms and abbreviations are effective only if they are common to all Coast Guard communities or are initially defined in the comments.

3. Be clear.

Don't lose the meaning; watch for cryptic comments.

13. RETURN ADDRESS.	(Name and address to which a copy is sent after filing the original i	n the officer's record.)

14. OER ADMINISTRATOR REVIEW:

a. Initials: | b. Date:

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

This information is requested under the authority of 14 U.S.C. 833 to determine an officer's suitability for promotion or job assignment. Submitting this information is mandatory. Failure to provide it could adversely affect promotion opportunities and job assignments or lead to disciplinary action.