Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

For local tracking purposes:

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- □ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	
Property address:	Reason for inspection:
Property owner:	Owner's phone:
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority:	Regulatory authority phone:
Brief system description:	
Comments or recommendations:	

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Business name:		License number:	
Inspector signature:		Phone number:	
	Required Attachments System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance	
Soil boring logs			

(mm/dd/yyyy)

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to the	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Searched for surface outlet
ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System discharges sewage to drain	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
tile or surface waters.		Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
System causes sewage backup into	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system
dwelling or establishment.		System requires "emergency" pumping
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public		Performed dye test
		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
health and safety.		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Probed tank(s) bottom	
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
		Observed liquid level below operating depth	
	∐ Yes ∐ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
• • • •		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
	catos tho	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
system is failing to protect gr		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic		 Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) 	

Comments/Explanation:

Varification mathed/a)

3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes* 🗋 No 🗋 Unknown

b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.

Explain:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation:		Verification method(s):	
<i>(mm/dd/yyyy)</i> Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local	
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical			
separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.	

5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – C	Compliance com	ponent #5 of 5	Not applicable	
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	lf "yes", A below i	s required	

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?

☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Com	pliance	criteria
	P	01110110

a.	Operating Permit number:	□ Yes □ No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.*