ENLISTED PERFORMANCE REPORT (AB thru TSGT)										
I. RATEI	E IDENTIFICATION DATA (R	Read AFI 36-2406 carefully befo	re con	pleting any item.)						
1. (Last, First, Middle Initial)			2. S	SN	3. GRADE		4. DAFSC			
5 ORGA	NIZATION, COMMAND, AND LO	OCATION				6a. PAS	L	6b. SRID		
0. 0/10/1	74127 (77014, OOMMIN 11412, 74142 EC	56,177671				Ga. 7 710		05. 07.75		
7. PERIO	OD OF REPORT			8. NO. DAYS SUI	PERVISION	9. REASON FO	R REPORT	•		
From:		Thru:								
II JOB	DESCRIPTION									
1. DUTY										
2. KEY L	DUTIES, TASKS, AND RESPON	ISIBILITIES								
III E\/A	LUATION OF PERFORMANC	`E								
III. EVA	LUATION OF PERFORMANC	<b>,</b> E								
1. HO\	N WELL DOES RATEE PERF	FORM ASSIGNED DUTIES? (	Consid	der quality, quantit	ty, and timeliness of	duties performed	)			
		,		1	<b>,</b> ,					
	Inefficient. An	Good performer.		Exce	ellent performer.	The	e exception	).		
	unprofessional	Performs routine			sistently produces		solutely			
	performer.	duties satisfactorily.		L high	quality work.	su	perior			
	MANUAL BASS BASS (MA	W ABOUT BRIMARY BUTTER								
	W MUCH DOES RAIEE KNO knowledge)	W ABOUT PRIMARY DUTIES?	? (Co	nsider whether rat	ee nas tecnnicai exp	ertise and is able	to apply			
lile	kriowiedge)					<u> </u>				
	Does not have the basic	Has adequate techni		Exte of	nsive knowledge		cels in kno	-		
	knowledge necessary to perform duties.	knowledge to satisfact perform duties.	ctorily		rimary duties and		related pos asters all du			
	periorm duties.	periorin duties.			illiary addice and	IVIC	isters all uu	ues.		
3. HOV	V WELL DOES RATEE COM	PLY WITH STANDARDS? (Co	onside	r dress and appea	rance, weight and fit	ness, customs, a	nd courtes	sies)		
				T		T				
	Fails to meet minimum	Meets Air Force			the example for		emplifies to	•		
	standards.	standards.		othe	rs to follow.	mil	litary stand	ards.		
4 1101	WIS DATES CONDUCT ON	I/OFF DUTY? (Consider finance	oial rad	nonoihility roono	at for outbority ourn	ort for organizatio	nol cotivit	ioo		
	maintenance of government fa	•	ciai ies	sporisibility, respec	ct for authority, supp	ort for organizatio	ırıar activiti	ES,		
anu				Τ						
	Unacceptable	Acceptable			the example		•	ne standard		
				Tor o	thers.	Of (	conduct.			
5 HO\	W WELL DOES RATEE SUPE	ERVISE/LEAD? (Consider hov	v well	member sets and	enforces standards	displays initiative	and			
		e and feedback, and fosters tea			omoroco otamaa ao,	aropray o minanto				
		Effective.		<u>,                                      </u>						
	Ineffective	Obtains		High	nly		ceptionally ective lead			
		satisfactory			•		conve read	G1.		
6 HO	WELL DOES BATES COM	PLY WITH INDIVIDUAL TRAIN	IING B	EOLIIDEMENTS:	Consider ungrade	training profess	ional milits	nn/		
	cation, proficiency/qualification		vG R	L CONTRIBITION	(Consider upgrade	, a anning, profess	ioriai riiiila	'' y		
		I		1		1 0				
	Does not comply with minimum training	Complies with most		Com	plies with all		nsistently e training	exceeas		
	requirements.	training requirements	5.		ing requirements.		quirements.			
	·						,			
7. HO\	W WELL DOES RATEE COM	MUNICATE WITH OTHERS?	(Consi		·					
	Unable to express				sistently able to		ably aldin 1	writer		
	thoughts clearly.	Organizes and expre thoughts satisfactorily			nize and express s clearly and		ghly skilled d communi			
	Lacks organization.	unoughts satisfactorily	· .		s clearly and ciselv		a communi	outor.		

Download any U.S. FedForm (free, fillable, savable in Adobe Reader)! Start with the "Flash Demo" at the top of the following page: www.usa-federal-forms.com

Convert any fillable PDF form to savable (locally, in Adobe Reader):

www.savePDF.com

Convert any document (in any format) to PDF fillable and savable: www.FillinDocs.com

All (10's of 1,000's) U.S. Federal Forms already fillable, savable: www.usa-federal-forms.com

-----

## About the ITAOP/savePDF Method

The traditional Field-by-Field creation process is extremely ineffective and slow.

The only realistic option to create high-quality forms is the Insert-Text-Anywhere-on-Page (ITAOP) method.

The field creation process is about 10,000 times faster than the traditional method; the list of ITAOP features is not even available for the traditional method.

ITAOP savePDF method proved to be very simple and completely reliable for millions of users all over the world (incl. individuals, companies, organizations, government employees).

IV. PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION (Compare this ratee with others of the same grade and AFS)						RATEE NAME:							
•	OMMENDATION	tn otners of the NC RECOMN	)T	NOT REC	COMMENDED S TIME		C	ONSIDEF	?	READY		IMMEDIATE PROMOTION	
REC	RATER'S OMMENDATION			2	3			4		5			
	TIONAL RATER'S OMMENDATION	1			2			3		4		5	
V. RA	V. RATER'S COMMENTS												
Last performance feedback was accomplished on:  (Consistent with the direction in AFI 36-2406. If not accomplished, state the reason.)													
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD &						DUTY						DATE	
						SSN		SIGNATUR					
VI. ADDITIONAL RATER'S COMMENTS								CONC	JR		NONCON	NCONCUR	
NAME, GRADE, BR OF SVC, ORGN, COMD &						DUTY TITLE DATE						DATE	
						SSN			SIGNATUR	?			
INSTRUCTIONS  Reports written by a senior rater or the Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force (CMSAF) will not be endorsed.  Reports written by colonels or civilians (GS-15 or higher) do not require an additional rater; however, endorsement is permitted unless prohibited by the Instruction above.  When the rater's rater is not at least a MSgt or civilian (GS-07 or higher), the additional rater is the next official in the rating chain serving in the grade of MSgt or higher, or a civilian in the grade of GS-07 or higher.  When the final evaluator (rater or additional rater) is not an Air Force officer, enlisted, or DAF civilian, an Air Force advisor review is required.  All evaluators enter only last four numbers of SSN.													
VII. COMMANDER'S REVIEW													
	CONCUR			NONCONCUR	(Attach AF Fo	orm 77)	)	SIGNAT	IGNATUR				